UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,179	04/16/2004	Mi Jung Yang	5895P056	9770
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			EXAMINER	
			MAHMOUDZADEH, NIMA	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/826,179	YANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH	2619
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 At 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the condition is in the practice.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-10 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/826,179 Page 2

Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/15/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/826,179

Art Unit: 2619

4. Claims 1-6 and 8- 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. (US Patent Publication No. 2003/0028641) in view of Khurana et al. (US Patent Publication No. 2004/0028054).

Page 3

Regarding claim 1, (Currently Amended) Zhang et al. teach a method of performing adaptive connection admission control in consideration of input call states in a Differentiated Services (DiffServ) network (Paragraph [0002], discloses a bandwidth broker performing various QoS management functions), the DiffServ network including a bandwidth broker, a plurality of ingress and egress edge nodes and a plurality of core nodes (Paragraph [0002] and also, see Fig. 1, elements 16, 12, and 14), but fail to explicitly teach the method comprising the steps of:

- a) a corresponding ingress edge node (Fig. 1, element 14 is an edge node) performing connection admission control for a new connection within an amount of bandwidth initially allocated to each of paths between the ingress and egress edge nodes;
- b) the corresponding ingress edge node comparing an amount of remaining bandwidth allocated to a specific path Pr with an amount of bandwidth required for a connection setup requesting call call requesting new connection setup input to the corresponding ingress edge node, and calculating an amount of additional bandwidth to be requested from the bandwidth broker when the corresponding ingress edge node determines that the amount of the remaining bandwidth does not satisfy the amount of the bandwidth required for the connection setup requesting call; and

Application/Control Number: 10/826,179

Art Unit: 2619

c) the corresponding ingress edge node requesting additional bandwidth from the bandwidth broker on the basis of the calculated amount of the additional bandwidth, changing bandwidth information of the corresponding path Pr, and performing connection admission control. However, Khurana et al. teach the method comprising the steps of:

Page 4

- a) a corresponding ingress edge node (Fig. 1, element 122) performing connection admission control for a new connection within an amount of bandwidth initially allocated to each of paths between the ingress and egress edge nodes (Fig. 1, element 122, link L1);
- b) the corresponding ingress edge node comparing an amount of remaining bandwidth allocated to a specific path Pr with an amount of bandwidth required for a connection setup requesting call—call requesting new connection setup input to the corresponding ingress edge node (Paragraph [0011], discloses the aggregation of the bandwidth for the new request when there is insufficient bandwidth to support the request), and calculating an amount of additional bandwidth to be requested from the bandwidth broker when the corresponding ingress edge node determines that the amount of the remaining bandwidth does not satisfy the amount of the bandwidth required for the connection setup requesting call (Paragraph [0012], provisioning system maintains the global view of the network and manages the allocation of the path's bandwidth); and
- c) the corresponding ingress edge node requesting additional bandwidth from the bandwidth broker on the basis of the calculated amount of the additional bandwidth,

Art Unit: 2619

changing bandwidth information of the corresponding path Pr, and performing connection admission control (Paragraphs [0011], [0012], and [0013]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Zhang et al. to include the bandwidth aggregation disclosed by Khurana et al. in order to improve the quality of service for in the communication network.

Regarding claim 2, (Original) Zhang et al. in view of Khurana et al. teach the adaptive connection admission control method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of d) decreasing the amount of additionally allocated bandwidth when the amount of the additionally allocated bandwidth is not exhausted within a certain range, and returning the decreased amount of the additionally allocated bandwidth to the bandwidth broker (Paragraphs [0013], [0015] the provisioning system of Khurana et al. borrows back the excess bandwidth in an amount equal to the deficiencies).

Regarding claim 3, (Original) Zhang et al. in view of Khurana et al. teach the adaptive connection admission control method according to claim 2, wherein the step d) comprises the steps of:

comparing an amount of bandwidth UBWi being used at current time Ti of the amount of the additionally allocated bandwidth with an amount of bandwidth UBWi-1 actually used at previous time Ti-I (Paragraphs [0013], [0014] and [0015] the provisioning system of Khurana et al. borrows back the excess bandwidth in an amount equal to the deficiencies); and

decreasing an amount of currently available bandwidth BWi of the corresponding path Pr when a difference between the amount of the bandwidth UBWi and the amount of the bandwidth UBWi.t is equal to or greater than a preset threshold (Paragraphs [0013], [0014] and [0015] the provisioning system of Khurana et al. borrows back the excess bandwidth in an amount that is needed).

Regarding claim 4, (Original) Zhang et al. in view of Khurana et al. teach the adaptive connection admission control method according to claim 3, wherein the amount of the currently available bandwidth BWi of the corresponding path Pr is decreased to the amount of the bandwidth UBWi.1 actually used at the previous time Ti-1 (See paragraphs [0013], [0014], and [0015] of Khurana et al.).

Regarding claim 5, (Original) Zhang et al. in view of Khurana et al. teach the adaptive connection admission control method according to claim 2, further comprising the step of the bandwidth broker withdrawing the decreased amount of the additionally allocated bandwidth and allocating the decreased amount of the additionally allocated bandwidth to another path (See paragraphs [0013], [0014], and [0015] of Khurana et al.).

Regarding claim 6, (Original) Zhang et al. in view of Khurana et al. teach the adaptive connection admission control method according to claim 1, wherein the step a) comprises the steps of:

determining each of paths between the ingress and egress edge nodes within the DiffServ network using a routing protocol (Paragraph [0005] of Khurana et al. discloses

Art Unit: 2619

utilization of MPLS which is a multilayer switch using routing tables in the process. Also see paragraph [0008]);

the bandwidth broker determining an amount of initial bandwidth for each path and reporting the determined amount of the initial bandwidth for each path to the ingress edge node (Paragraph [0011] of Khurana et al., bandwidth is allocated initially);

selecting the path Pr using a destination address when the call requesting new connection setup is input to the ingress edge node (Paragraph [0011] of Khurana et al. discloses the new call request and also, Fig. 1, discloses the destination unit which is interconnected with routers that utilize routing tables); and

accepting the connection setup request when the amount of the remaining bandwidth, which is allocated to the selected path Pr and is currently available, is greater than the amount of the bandwidth required for the connection setup requesting call (Paragraph [0009] of Khurana et al.).

Claim 7, (Cancelled)

Regarding claim 8, (Previously Presented) Zhang et al. in view of Khurana et al. teach the adaptive connection admission control method according to claim 1, wherein the step b) is performed so that, when the amount of the remaining bandwidth satisfies the amount of the bandwidth required for the connection setup requesting call, the bandwidth information of the corresponding path Pr is changed (In Paragraph [0009] of Khurana et al. policing is done by the network provisioning system) as expressed in the following Equation.

changed bandwidth information of Pr = amount of remaining bandwidth of Pr - amount of bandwidth required for new call (In Paragraph [0009] of Khurana et al.)

Regarding claim 9, (Original) Zhang et al. in view of Khurana et al. teach the adaptive connection admission control method according to claim 1, wherein the step c) comprises the steps of:

the ingress edge node requesting the bandwidth broker to allocate the additional bandwidth predicted depending on the state of the input call (In paragraph [0011] of Khurana et al. dynamic bandwidth reallocation is done when one or more paths have insufficient bandwidth);

the bandwidth broker receiving the request, determining whether to accept the request for the allocation of the additional bandwidth depending on states of links through which the corresponding path Pr passes (Paragraph [0013] of Khurana et al.);

the ingress edge node receiving a response to the request for the allocation of the additional bandwidth from the bandwidth broker and determining whether allocation of the additional bandwidth succeeds (Paragraph [0013] of Khurana et al. indicated the response to the bandwidth reallocation caused by the call request); and

rejecting the connection setup request if the allocation of the additional bandwidth fails (In paragraph [0028] of Khurana et al. the call request is rejected if there is insufficient bandwidth to satisfy the request), while changing the bandwidth information of the corresponding path Pr and accepting the connection setup request if the allocation of the additional bandwidth succeeds (Paragraph [0013] of Khurana et al.).

Application/Control Number: 10/826,179 Page 9

Art Unit: 2619

Regarding claim 10, (Previously Presented) Zhang et al. in view of Khurana et al. teach the adaptive connection admission control method according to claim 9, wherein the bandwidth information of the corresponding path Pr is changed as expressed in the following equation.

changed bandwidth information of Pr = (amount of remaining bandwidth of Pr+M') - amount of bandwidth required for new call (Paragraph [0013] of Khurana et al., as additional customer service requests for a given path are received, the provisioning system compares the requested bandwidth for each traffic class to the bandwidth available in each class)

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH whose telephone number is (571)270-3527. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chirag G. Shah can be reached on (571) 272-3144. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,179 Page 10

Art Unit: 2619

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH/ Examiner, Art Unit 2619

> /Chirag G Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619